## REMARKS

Claims 18-25 and 31-34 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Machii et al (Published U.S. Patent Application No. 2005/0159887), while Claims 26-29 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Machii et al in view of Jenkins et al (Published U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0018628); and Claim 30 has been rejected as unpatentable over Machii et al and Jenkins et al, and further in view of Adachi (Published U.S. Patent Application No. 2008/0198043). However, for the reasons set forth hereinafter, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims of record in this application, including new Claims 35-37, distinguish over the cited references, whether considered separately or in combination.

The present invention is directed to a sensor arrangement device for operating vehicle control systems of a vehicle, such as, for example, a radar supported automatic headway control system, a gear change adaptation system, an anticipated curve warning system, a system for forward deflection of vehicle headlights depending on road curvature, a system for warning of approaching no-passing zones, and the like. (See, for example, paragraphs [0026] and [0027].) One important feature of such systems is that the amount of geographic information which they require in order to perform their assigned functions is much less than that of a vehicle navigation system. For instance, as shown in

Figure 1 of the present application, the geographic information contained in a

vehicle navigation data base typically includes information such as addresses

(21% of the total) and polygons related to information which is to be visualized

during navigation (21%). In addition, as shown in Figures 2a and 2b, navigation

system databases typically include a large portion of data which characterize

built-up areas, which include local streets and stop lights. The systems of the

type mentioned previously, however, are intended to operate most effectively in

open or undeveloped areas, so that the data concerning built-up areas are not

necessary.

Accordingly, the use of geographic information stored in a digital road map

for a navigation system requires the incorporation of substantial unnecessary

hardware and software into the vehicle system, and may even require the

provision of a complete navigation system, in order to extract the information

necessary for operating vehicle operating systems. Thus, such a system is

inefficient and costly.

The present invention, on the other hand, provides a sensor arrangement

for a vehicle control system which includes a buffer for storing "geographic

vehicle operation information", as well as an input interface for selecting a

subset of the geographic vehicle operation information stored in the buffer and

an output for outputting a selected subset of geographic vehicle operation

Page 9 of 13

information, which is sent for further processing to the vehicle control system.

In addition, Claim 18 further recites that the geographic vehicle operation

information referred to therein "consists of geographic information that is limited

to controlling operation of at least one vehicle control system as the vehicle

traverses at least one possible route".

Thus, as noted in paragraph [0011] of the specification, in the system

according to the invention, a complete separation is achieved between geographic

information provided for use in vehicle control systems on the one hand and the

much more voluminous geographic information used for navigation on the other

hand. (See also paragraph [0013].) The latter feature of the invention is not

taught or suggested in the Machii et al patent, which discloses a terminal

apparatus for local storage of map information in a vehicle navigation system. In

particular, as illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 of Machii et al, a local terminal 106

that is contained on board a vehicle includes a provision for interfacing with a

memory card 201 that is insertable in a slot 404 in a terminal apparatus main

body 401. As shown in Figure 1, the PC 105, in turn, is connected via a telephone

link 104 and the internet 103 to a navigation server 102, in which an overall

navigation map is stored. (See paragraphs [0044] and [0045].)

As shown in Figure 3, and discussed in paragraph [0051] of the

specification, when a map of a particular locality is requested (by indicating

Page 10 of 13

coordinates, such as latitude and longitude of a desired place), a check is made whether or not the requested information exists locally. If so, the requested map is loaded from the memory card 201 or provided in the terminal apparatus 106. If not, on the other hand, the map data is downloaded from the navigation server 102 of Figure 2. (See paragraph [0051], lines 5-9, as well as paragraph [0086]. In this manner, it is possible to minimize the amount of data from the navigation database which is stored locally on board the vehicle.

As is apparent from the foregoing brief summary, Machii et al discloses a vehicle navigation system that uses a navigation database stored in the navigation computer 102, as indicated, for example, in Figure 2. More specifically, Machii et al contains no teaching or suggestion regarding the storage of a database which is specially configured to provide only that information which is necessary for controlling the operation of a vehicle control systems, such as noted previously. Rather, the navigation database in Machii et al includes such information as "points of interest and a points of interest management section 1103, as a well as a registered place information section 1104, a guidance point creation section 1106, etc." (See Figure 11 and paragraph [0068]. Machii et al makes no mention of vehicle operating systems such as referred to in independent Claims 1 and 35, or to the maintenance of a database of "geographic vehicle operation information", such as defined in Claims 18 and 35, which "consists of" geographic information "that is limited to controlling

operation of at least one vehicle control system". Indeed, Machii et al contains no

teaching or suggestion of using the navigation data base provided therein for

such control purposes, and as is apparent from the foregoing discussion, the use

of such a navigation database for controlling such systems is antithetical to the

structure and function of the present application.

The Jenkins et al patent, on the other hand, is cited only in respect of

Claims 26-29, as disclosing an impact fee calculator. Adachi, on the other hand,

is cited only as teaching that a non straight parameter based section of a road is

described as a circular arc, clothoid, or spline. Accordingly, insofar as Applicants

have been able to determine, neither of the latter references teaches or suggests

those features of the invention which are omitted in the Machii et al patent, as

discussed above.

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 12 of 13

Serial No. 10/528,789 Amendment Dated: November 24, 2009 Reply to Office Action Mailed: June 24, 2009 Attorney Docket No. 095309.56021US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket # 095309.56021US).

Respectfully submitted,

Gáry R. Edwards

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms 9771422\_1